

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/579,131 | ZHANG ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JESSICA CLIFTON | 2419 | |

All Participants:

(1) JESSICA CLIFTON.

(2) James Kayden.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7, 10-22, 24-25, 27-30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Amendment discussed and faxed to Attorney. Attorney reviewed amendments with client and approved changes via telephone message on 04 September 2009.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JESSICA CLIFTON/
Examiner, Art Unit 2419

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:07p.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)